



How to improve IMS Scheduling

GSE BeNeLux IMS User Group 2017
Antwerp, Belgium

Ulrich Dillenberger
Principal Technical Consultant, BMC Software
ulrich_dillenberger@bmc.com

Agenda

What is IMS scheduling?

Elements that affect IMS scheduling

Monitoring IMS scheduling

What is IMS Scheduling ?

The life of a full-function IMS transaction (message)

- Message arrives in IMS
- Enqueue the message to the SMB
- Schedule the message
- Schedule-end to first DL/I call
- Program elapse
- Syncpoint
- Output message

A more convenient way to look at the log records related to a unit of work

```
Report index file: 'RIHLXT.LUI.INDEX'
Type one or more action codes. Enter LEFT or RIGHT for additional columns.
S=Record detail   T=List adjacent records
D=Dump record     B=Browse record   ?=Log code help       Sorted by: TIMESTAMP
Log
A Code           Type           Attributes (Enter ALTview for multiple lines per record)
-----
_ 01  input msg     origims=I15Z destims=I15Z origin=RIHLXT   dest=IVTNO
_ 35  msg enqueue  dest=IVTNO    drrn=0400001D
_ 08  pgm start    recovery token=I15Z 0000000200000000 tran=IVTNO
_ 07  recovery    (start unit-of-recovery) psb=DFSIVP1
_ 31  msg get      recovery token=I15Z 0000000200000000 dest=IVTNO
_ 38  msg return   (Input message returned to msg Q) qlriflgs=01 qlriflg2=00
_ 35  msg enqueue  dest=IVTNO    drrn=0400001D  pst=00001
_ 38  msg return   (Input message returned to msg Q) qlriflgs=01 qlriflg2=00
_ FA  Mainview    tran=IVTNO    jobname=IMSM15Z  userid=RIHLXT   ver=5300
_ F9  Mainview    jobname=IMSM15Z  pst=00001  psb=DFSIVP1  tran=IVTNO
_ 07  pgm end     abendcode=U3303  psb=DFSIVP1  tran=IVTNO    pst=00001
***** Bottom of Data *****
```

What is IMS Scheduling ?

Schedule-to-1st DL/I call time

- Phase 1: Scheduling the message
 - IMS Scheduler examines the following elements
 - SERIAL/PARALLEL, MAXRGN, PARLIM, PROCLIM, PRIORITY, CLASS
 - Pool allocation
 - PSB/DMB directory (PDIR/DDIR) and PSBW pool
 - » If PSB not resident, I/Os to ACBLIB
 - » If pool space not available, intent failure
 - Schedule the message to MPR

What is IMS Scheduling ?

Schedule-to-1st DL/I call time (cont'd)

- Phase 2: Schedule-end to first DL/I call
 - Program & subroutine load from PGMLIB
 - Program initialization
 - Program does the first DL/I call to the I/O PCB

What is IMS Scheduling ?

Our goal for today's session is to:

- Reduce the message scheduling time
- Reduce or eliminate the Schedule-end to first DL/I call time
- Results
 - Improve transaction response time
 - Reduce CPU utilization
 - Increase transaction throughput
 - Meet SLA with minimum resource requirement

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define program SERIAL/PARALLEL (APPLCTN/CREATE PGM)

- Definition
 - Use SERIAL for application required serialization for a business reason
 - PARALLEL allows transaction to be scheduled in multiple MPRs
- Recommendation: Use PARALLEL
- Advantages
 - Less message queuing for high-volume transaction
 - Improve transaction response time
 - Handle high-volume transaction
- Disadvantages
 - Increase database locking contention or deadlock

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define MAXRGN=x for parallel scheduling (TRANSACTION/CREATE TRAN)

- Definition
 - Set the maximum number of regions that a transaction can run concurrently
 - Controls domination of a transaction in a class
- Recommendation: It depends on the transaction volume during peak time
- Advantages
 - Allows more concurrency
 - Handle high-volume transaction
- Disadvantage
 - Setting value x too high will cause IMS scheduling to be more complex thus causing high CPU usage for the control region
 - Increased possibility for locking conflicts

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define PSB as RESIDENT/Non-Resident

- Recommendation: Define PSB as RESIDENT
 - Use 64-bit ACB storage pool to reduce I/Os (ACBIN64=n)
- Advantage
 - Reduce I/Os to the ACBLIB
- Disadvantage
 - For non-active PSB, it occupies real/virtual storage (working set size). But in today's system, real/virtual storage is less of an issue

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define PROCLIM=(x,y) for limiting transaction scheduling or by CPU time

– Definition

- Set the limit number of messages that can be executed in one schedule for a transaction
- When the limit is reached, the program is ended unless it is eligible for a quick re-scheduling
- Set PROCLIM=0 for program that does not perform storage clean up properly

– Recommendation: Set a high value for a high volume transaction

– Advantages

- Reduce IMS scheduling time
- Reduce program load time
- Reduce CPU utilization
- Reduce Db2 thread creation time

– Disadvantage

- The transaction can dominate the MPR

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define PARLIM=x for parallel transaction scheduling

- Definition
 - Set the queue count that triggers parallel scheduling of a transaction
 - PARLIM=0 implies scheduling right away
 - In a shared-queue environment, IMS does not know the queue depth. It performs another schedule after a successful GU I/O PCB
- Recommendation: PARLIM=0 for non shared-queue and =2 for shared queue
- Advantage
 - Reduce input queue time
- Disadvantages
 - Scheduling overhead may increase if transaction has long schedule to 1st DL/I time
 - In CQS environment, PARLIM=0 may cause false scheduling

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define PRTY=(normal,limit,limit count) for setting transaction priority when transaction stays in the queue

- Definition
 - Specifies the values that determine the scheduling priority of this transaction
 - Normal: Use this value when tran in queue less than limit count (0-14, default is 1)
 - Limit: Use this value when tran in queue equals or greater than limit count
 - Use command to set PRTY to 0 to prevent scheduling
- Recommendation: Use default unless there is a good reason
- Advantages
 - Reduce input queue time
 - For a certain type of application, this maybe helpful
 - Useful to manage MSC transactions
- Disadvantage
 - Complex setting may cause scheduling overhead

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define Wait-for-Input (WFI) for transaction

- Definition
 - The transaction is scheduled just like normal. However, the next GU call to the I/O PCB will either get the next message in the queue or IMS will suspend the call (place the ITASK in the suspend queue) until the next message is available
 - The GU call will get the 'QC' status when the PROCLIM limit is reached
- Recommendation: Use WFI for transaction accessing Db2 or high volume
- Advantages
 - Eliminate the scheduling process for the transaction in the region
 - CPU, I/O, log records will be reduced (no log records x'07',x'08')
 - Response time improved
- Disadvantage
 - Region is occupied by this transaction
 - Application programs executed in this MPR needs to have correct initialization logic

Elements that affect Scheduling

Define the region (MPR) as Pseudo-Wait-for-Input (PWFI=Y)

- Definition
 - The transaction is scheduled just like normal. However, the next GU call to the I/O PCB will either get the next message in the queue or IMS will suspend the call (place the ITASK in the suspend queue) if there is no eligible message ready to be scheduled in this region
- Recommendation: Use PWFI as much as you can
 - Strongly recommended for transaction accessing Db2
- Advantages
 - Reduce the scheduling process for the transaction in the region
 - CPU, I/O, log records will be reduced (no log records X'07', X'08')
 - Response time improved
- Disadvantage
 - Application programs executed in this MPR need to have correct initialization logic

Elements that affect Scheduling

DMB, PSB (CSA, DLI), PSBW pools

- Definition
 - IMS Scheduler needs to allocate pool space for this transaction
- Recommendation: Monitor these pools and make sure they are large enough to handle the workload during peak
 - If IMS Catalog is used, IMS requires extra space for PSB (DLI & CSA) for each schedule
- Advantage
 - Reduce the scheduling failures such as block-mover wait
- Disadvantage
 - N/A

Elements that affect Scheduling

Program preload

- IMS needs to make the application program ready to run under the MPR; if the program is not in storage, it needs to load the program from the PGMLIB
- Recommendation: Use the DFSMPLxx to preload program and Cobol service routines (language environment modules)
 - Use GTF trace to find module names
- Advantage
 - Reduce/eliminate program load time
- Disadvantage
 - Occupy large storage/working set size especially with multiple regions

Elements that affect Scheduling

PGMLIB

- If program is not in storage, IMS loads from the PGMLIB
- Recommendation
 - Make PGMLIB under VLF or using cache/SSD device
 - Use large blocksize
 - Put RESLIB in the bottom of STEPLIB concatenation
- Advantage
 - Reduce program load time
- Disadvantage
 - N/A

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

IMS DC Monitor Region Summary Report

```
SDSF OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTDC JOB04665 DSID 102 LINE 498 COLUMNS 01- 80
COMMAND INPUT ==> SCROLL ==> CSR
1 IMS MONITOR *** REGION SUMMARY *** TRACE START 2016 026, 12
(A)
.....ELAPSED TIME.....
OCCURRENCES TOTAL MEAN MAXIMUM NOT IWAIT
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
OSCHEDULING AND TERMINATION
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
**REGION 1 39 4450 114 341 4450
**REGION 2 40 4294 107 192 4294
**REGION 3 7 600 85 158 600
**TOTALS 86 9344 108 9344
OSCHEDULE TO FIRST CALL
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
**REGION 1 40 994009 24850 233620
**REGION 2 41 974109 23758 221493
**REGION 3 8 84656566 10582070 84453104
**TOTALS 89 86624684 973311
```

- Look at the number of occurrences and the mean time (in micro secs)
- What happened to region 3 “schedule to first call” mean time?
- What is the difference between the “scheduling and termination” and “schedule to first call” time?

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

IMS DC Monitor Transaction Queuing Report

```
SDSF OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTDC JOB04665  DSID  102 LINE 815      COLUMNS 02- 81
COMMAND INPUT ==>                                SCROLL ==> CSR
IMS MONITOR *** TRANSACTION QUEUING ***          TRACE START 2016 026, 12:
                                                    (B)              (A)
TRANSACTION      NUMBER      NUMBER      ..ON QUEUE WHEN SCHEDULED..... DEQU
                  DEQUED      SCHEDS.      MINIMUM      MEAN          MAXIMUM      MEAN
-----
IVTNO             84          14           0           0.85          1           6.0
IVTNV             84          39           0           0.48          1           2.1
THDAMUPD         76          19           0           1.63          3           4.0
THDAMINQ         36          17           0           1.23          3           2.1
IMS MONITOR *** REPORTS ***          TRACE START 2016 026, 12:
NO INTENT FAILURES IN THIS TRACE
NO POOL SPACE FAILURES IN THIS TRACE
NO DEADLOCK EVENTS IN THIS TRACE
TOTAL TIMES ECBS WAITED FOR SAPS =          0
MONITOR OVERHEAD DATA
```

Small number is better

Large number is better

PSB/PSBW pools are fine

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

IMS DC Monitor Program Summary Report

```
SDSF OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTDC JOB04665 DSID  
COMMAND INPUT ==>  
IMS MONITOR *** PROGRAM SUMMARY ***
```

PSBNAME	NO. SCHEDS.	TRANS. DEQ.	CALLS	CALLS /TRAN
DFSIVP1	14	84	299	3.5
DFSIVP2	39	84	355	4.2
PHDAMUPD	19	76	270	3.5
PHDAMINQ	17	36	254	7.0
0**TOTALS	89	280	1178	4.2

```
OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTDC JOB04665 DSID 102 LINE 650  
COMMAND INPUT ==>  
START 2016 026, 12:20:27 TRACE STOP 2016 026, 12:25  
(A).....(B)..... (A).....(B).....  
TRAN. CPU ELAPSED SCHED. TO  
DEQD. TIME DISTR. TIME 1ST CALL  
/SCH. /SCHED. NO. /SCHED. /SCHED.
```

6.0	45594	91A,B	294968	6032409
2.1	1111	97A,B	11003	1437
4.0	1665	104A,B	7366	1218
2.1	6437	122A,B	20525	123043
3.1	9244		56714	973311

E START 2016 026, 12:20:27 TRACE STOP 2016 026, 12:25
DISTR.

Why such a big number?

A possibility that GU I/O PCB calls were suspended

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

IMS DC Monitor Programs by Region Report

```
SDSF OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTDC JOB04665 DSID 102 LINE 625 COLUMNS 01- 80 XTDC JOB04665 DSID 102 LINE 625
COMMAND INPUT ==>
1 IMS MONITOR *** PROGRAMS BY REGION *** TRACE START 2016 026, 12:20:27 TRACE STOP 2016 026, 12:25:17
          (A)                                     (B)
          OCCURRENCES      ELAPSED EXECUTION TIME      SCHEDULING END TO FIRST CALL      DISTRIBUTION
          TOTAL            MEAN            MAXIMUM      TOTAL            MEAN            MAXIMUM      NUMBER
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
0**REGION 1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
PHDAMUPD      11      82649      7513      11473      13529      1229      2389      105A,B
DFSIVP2       16     139507      8719      43894      19075      1192      2283      113A,B
PHDAMINQ      8     150519     18814     47463     961095     120136     233620     125A,B
DFSIVP1       5     127956     25591     45582      310      62      96      136A,B
REGION TOTALS 40     500631     12515     994009     24850
0**REGION 2
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
DFSIVP2       20     188138      9406     24025     34714     1735     7858     98A,B
PHDAMUPD      8     57306      7163     10579     9624     1203     2015     114A,B
PHDAMINQ      7     159419     22774     47167     929497     132785     221493     123A,B
DFSIVP1       6     196262     32710     58934      274      45      66     133A,B
REGION TOTALS 41     601125     14661     974109     23758
0**REGION 3
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
DFSIVP1       3     3805346    1268448    3545819    84453145    28151048    84453104     92A,B
DFSIVP2       3     101476     33825     94677     2266      755      865     126A,B
PHDAMINQ      2     38995     19497     23052     201155     100577     145946     127A,B
REGION TOTALS 8     3945817     493227    84656566    10582070
```

Was region 3 really much less efficient for program DFSIVP1 than region 1 ?
DC Monitor not helpful with PWFI !

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Monitor IMS region occupancy percentage

```
>W1 =IRGOCC=====I14ZIMS=*=====05FEB2016==11:08:11====MVIMS====D====2
```

CM	Rgn	Region	Rgn	Rgn	MVS	Proc	Rgn	Cls %	Cls %	Cls %	Cls %	Other
--	ID	Name	Typ	CPU	CPU	Rate	Occ	Occ	Occ	Occ	Occ	% Occ
	2	IMSM14Z	MPP	0	37	0.3	1	1 0.3	2 0.3	3 0	4 0	
	1	IMSM14Z	MPP	0	37	0.3	0	1 0.2	2 0.3	3 0	4 0	

- Good region occupancy rate under 60%
- Any class with low occupancy rate should be removed to make scheduling simple
- Group the removed class to a region (or more) so program preload is more useful

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Monitor processing by class

```
W1 =ICLSUM===ICLDTL===I14ZIMS==*=====05FEB2016==11:44:17====MVIMS====D==
Class.....          1 --Total Msgs---          -Process Stat--
IMS ID.....          I14Z Avg back Log...    0.00 Avg CPU Time...    0.0006
SharedQ Group..      Back Log Dir...    Min CPU Time...    0.0001
MVS Name.....        SJSJ Avg Queue Size.  0.00 Max CPU Time...    0.0024
Avg Total Rgns.      2.00 Diff Tran Cd...  0.00 Avg Elapse Time   0.0034
Avg Enbl'd Rgns      2.00 --Sched Msgs---    Min Elapse Time    0.0001
Avg EnblRgn Occ      0.00 Avg Queue Size.  0.00 Max Elapse Time    0.4821
Avg Rgn Delay..      0.00 Diff Tran Cd...  0.00 Avg Queue Time.   0.0050
Avg Rgns Needed      0.01 -Non-Sched Msg-      Min Queue Time.    0.0000
Avg Rgns Used..      0.0 Avg Queue Size.  0.00 Max Queue Time.    0.4854
Proc Rate.....       1.6 Diff Tran Cd...    0
Arrival Rate...      1.6 -BMP Msgs.....
                          Avg Queue Size.  0.00
                          Diff Tran Cd...    0
```

Monitor each class to make sure there are enough regions to execute all transactions in that class

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Monitor scheduling time by transaction

```
24FEB2016 07:34:06 ----- MAINVIEW WINDOW INTERFACE (V6.1.01) -----
COMMAND ==>
SCROLL ==> CSR
CURR WIN ==> 1 ALT WIN ==>
>W1 =IFCTRS=====I14ZIMS=*=====24FEB2016==07:34:06===MVIMS===D===3
IMS Avg Avg % Sched %CPU CPU I/O Pol Lch Oth
Trancode ID Resp 0.....15.....30 Act Dly Dly Dly Dly Dly
IVTNO I142 0.1360 10 ██████████ 10
IVTNV I142 0.1197 2 ████████ 2
THDAMINQ I142 0.1748
```

- Consider making change to the MAXRGN or PROCLIM or PARLIM
- Review your change if it improves

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Monitor efficiency of scheduling by tran and region

- Effect of WFI transaction /PWFI region

```
>W1 =IPGMSCHD=====I152IMS=*=====13MAR2017==12:56:42====MVIMS====U====24
```

Program Schedule Statistics

PGM Preload Collection: ON Clear Collection Table: NO

Regn Id	Program Name	Regn Type	Tran Name	Trn Cls	Pgm Schs	Tran Schs	Trans /Sched	IMS Prld	Scheduling Recommendations	Change
1	DFSIVP1	MPP	IVTNO	1	71	78	1.10	No	PWFI,PRLD	
1	DFSIVP2	MPP	IVTNO	1	71	78	1.10	No	PRCL,PARL	
1	DFSIVP2	MPP	IVTNV	1	589	766	1.30	Yes	PWFI	
1	PHDAMINQ	MPP	IVTNV	1	589	766	1.30	No	WFI,PARL	
1	PHDAMINQ	MPP	THDAMINQ	2	18	27	1.50	No	PWFI,PRLD	
1	PHDAMUPD	MPP	THDAMINQ	2	18	27	1.50	No	PRCL	
1	PHDAMUPD	MPP	THDAMUPD	2	33	66	2.00	No	PWFI,PRLD	
2	DFSIVP1	PWFI	IVTNO	1	33	66	2.00	No	PARL	
2	DFSIVP1	PWFI	IVTNO	1	14	1400	100.00	No	PRLD	
2	DFSIVP2	PWFI	IVTNO	1	14	1400	100.00	No	WFI,PRCL,PARL	
2	DFSIVP2	PWFI	IVTNV	1	25	363	14.52	Yes		
2	PHDAMINQ	PWFI	IVTNV	1	25	363	14.52	No	PARL	
2	PHDAMINQ	PWFI	THDAMINQ	2	3	5	1.67	No	PRLD	
2	PHDAMUPD	PWFI	THDAMINQ	2	3	5	1.67	No	PRCL	
2	PHDAMUPD	PWFI	THDAMUPD	2	1	4	4.00	No	PRLD	
3	DFSIVP1	MPP	THDAMUPD	2	1	4	4.00	No	PARL,PARL	
3	DFSIVP1	MPP	IVTNO	1	180	298	1.66	No	PWFI,PRLD	
3	DFSIVP2	MPP	IVTNO	1	180	298	1.66	No	PRCL,PARL	
3	DFSIVP2	MPP	IVTNV	1	477	518	1.09	Yes	PWFI	
3	PHDAMINQ	MPP	IVTNV	1	477	518	1.09	No	WFI,PARL	
3	PHDAMINQ	MPP	THDAMINQ	2	15	22	1.47	No	PWFI,PRLD	
3	PHDAMUPD	MPP	THDAMINQ	2	15	22	1.47	No	PRCL	
3	PHDAMUPD	MPP	THDAMUPD	2	47	92	1.96	No	PWFI,PRLD	
3	PHDAMUPD	MPP	THDAMUPD	2	47	92	1.96	No	PARL	

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Monitor 1st DLI Time

- High 1st DLI, but low CPU indicates program load → consider preload

```
+W1 =IPGMSCHD=====I15ZIMS=*=====13MAR2017==12:56:42====MVIMS====U==
```

Program Schedule Statistics

PGM Preload Collection: ON Clear Collection Table: NO

Regn Id	Scheduling Change Recommendations	Program Size	Total 1st DLI	Total 1st CPU	Total 1st DB2 DLI	Trn ESS	Trn Ser	Proc Limit	Par Limit
1	PWFI,PRLD	3944	21.88	69.56	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PRCL,PARL		21.88	69.56	No	No	5	65535	
1	PWFI	3944	34.57	52.47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	WFI,PARL		34.57	52.47	No	No	65535	65535	
1	PWFI,PRLD	18112	110.83	1093.05	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PRCL		110.83	1093.05	No	No	5	1	
1	PWFI,PRLD	7312	13.24	62.27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PARL		13.24	62.27	No	No	65535	65535	
2	PRLD	3944	4.16	13.49	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	WFI,PRCL,PARL		4.16	13.49	No	No	5	65535	
2	PARL	3944	0.79	1.32	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PARL		0.79	1.32	No	No	65535	65535	
2	PRLD	18112	16.25	202.52	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PRCL		16.25	202.52	No	No	5	1	
2	PRLD	7312	0.62	10.05	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PARL,PARL		0.62	10.05	No	No	65535	65535	
3	PWFI,PRLD	3944	61.11	175.35	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PRCL,PARL		61.11	175.35	No	No	5	65535	
3	PWFI	3944	27.66	47.50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	WFI,PARL		27.66	47.50	No	No	65535	65535	
3	PWFI,PRLD	18112	88.52	774.53	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PRCL		88.52	774.53	No	No	5	1	
3	PWFI,PRLD	7312	20.10	92.28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PARL		20.10	92.28	No	No	65535	65535	

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Find candidates for preload

- Use GTF to monitor program load SVC 8 and SVC 122 for language environment
- GTF input parameters

```
*****
000001 TRACE=JOBNAMEP ,SVCP
000002 *-----
000003 JOBNAME=IMSI14Z
000004 *-----
000005 * SVC
000006 *-----
000007 SVC=(8,122)
000008 END
*****
```

- Start GTF
 /S GTF.GTF
- Stop GTF
 /P GTF

Monitoring IMS Scheduling

Evaluate GTF output to find candidates for preload

- Use TSO IPCS to print the GTF trace dataset

```
SDSF OUTPUT DISPLAY RIHLXTGT JOB00680  DSID  106 LINE 254      COLUMNS 01- 80
COMMAND INPUT ==>                                     SCROLL ==> CSR
D SVC..... 008      ASCB.... 00F5A700 CPU..... 0001      JOBNAME. IMSM14Z  OLD-PS
                  TCB..... 005D0A90 MODN.... DFSPCC20 R15..... 00000000 R0....
                  EPDENAME DFSIVP1
                  GMT-02/10/2016 14:39:30.312177  LOC-02/10/2016 06:39:30.312177
D SVCR.... 008      ASCB.... 00F5A700 CPU..... 0001      JOBNAME. IMSM14Z  DSP-PS
                  TCB..... 005D0A90 MODN.... DFSPCC20 R15..... 00000000 R0....
                  GMT-02/10/2016 14:39:30.313163  LOC-02/10/2016 06:39:30.313163
```

- Find the application program(s) that has high number of loads

Conclusion

Monitor frequently

- Make sure region occupancy for all regions does not exceed 60%
- Provide enough regions to serve each class
- PSB (CSA,DLI) and PSBW pools are large enough during peak time
- Check input queue time for each transaction
- Run GTF trace for new application for preload decision
- Monitor schedule-to-1st DL/I time for each transaction
- Consider using WFI/PWFI for transaction/region

Make change and monitor again

- Look at the big picture
- Monitor virtual storage usage of region after WFI/PWFI change
- Did my change make it better or worse?



Thank you !

